

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,109	01/17/2006	Calin Turcanu	60091.00441	2893	
32294 7590 12/34/2008 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LAM, DUNG LE		
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,109 TURCANU, CALIN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DUNG LAM 2617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13.14.17.18 and 20-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13.14.17.18 and 20-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S6/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/560,109

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1 114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19, 20, 22 and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 20 recite "computer readable storage device" and claim 22 recites, "a computer-readable medium". However, the examiner was unable to find these teachings in the original specification and thus could not determine whether the medium is a tangible medium or a carrier wave. For examination purpose, the examiner will interpret the medium as a tangible medium only and the medium does not include a carrier wave. Claim 20 is also missing the steps that are caused to be executed by a computer processor.

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13, 14, 17-18 and 20-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathis (US Patent Number 2003/0119540) further in view of Griffin (US Pub. 2003/0155447).

- 2. Regarding claim 13, Mathis teaches a method comprising:
- storing a list of subscribers in a phonebook application in a subscriber device ([0013, 0009, 0004]),
- storing presence information of the subscribers in the phonebook application ([0013, 0009, 0004]), said presence information including information on the availability of the subscribers for a group call ([0010-0013]):
- Opening the phonebook application in response to a predetermined input from the user interface ([0018], "client device is operated by the user to consult contact list" would require a predetermined input for the display to display a contact list, step 410 in Fig. 4)
- Displaying the list of subscribers on the user interface ([0018], user consult contact list, step 420 in Fig. 4);
- in response to the user's selection of two or more subscribers from the list via the user interface and in response to the user pressing a predetermined button (selecting group TG1 means users A and B are selected which broadly reads on the limitation of

Art Unit: 2617

"selection of two or more subscribers", Fig. 2; [0016, 0018]), providing appropriate signaling with a group communication service in a network infrastructure for establishing a ... group call of the selected subscribers and the user of the subscriber device (Step 430, [0013, 0018])

However, **Mathis** does not specifically teach, "an ad-hoc group call and sending a speech item or a speech item request each time a talk activity is detected or indicated in the subscriber device during said ad hoc group call, wherein said speech item or said speech item request is sent based on real-time transport protocol".

In an analogous art, Griffin teaches

- in response to the user's selection of two or more <u>individual</u> subscribers for a <u>new</u>
 ad-hoc group call from the list via the user interface, displaying a group
 communications menu on the user interface (Fig. 10, [0048-0050] and Fig 14; [0048]
 if at least 1 entry is selected from the buddy list, the softkey "chat" is available and
 when a group or user is selected, additional group options are presented to the user
 which is interpreted as "group communication menu"; users can select a whole or
 partial group which reads on "ah-hoc" group);
- providing appropriate signaling with a group communication service in a network infrastructure for establishing said new ad-hoc group call with said newly selected individual subscribers and the user of the subscriber device; and ([0049-0050]);
- Furthermore, Griffin teaches, "sending a speech item or a speech item request each time a talk activity is detected or indicated in the subscriber device during said ad

Application/Control Number: 10/560,109
Art Unit: 2617

hoc group call, wherein said speech item or said speech item request is sent based on real-time transport protocol" (Fig. 10, 10049-0050, 0062, 0066) and Fig 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include **Griffin's** teaching of the ad-hoc group call to allow the user the flexibility to dynamically select who to talk to and not be restricted to a predefined group and Griffin's teaching of sending a speech item request each time a talk activity is detected with Mathis' teaching in order to facilitate the push-to-talk process. It is also advantageous to modify Mathis and Haime's teaching with Griffin's teaching to use RTP because RTP takes advantage of the existing/emerging framework of Voice over IP (land and wireless) and take advantage of the functions and services offered in the Voice Over IP framework.

- 3. Regarding claim 14, Mathis teaches a method comprising:
- storing a list of subscribers in a phonebook application in a subscriber device ([0013, 0009, 0004]),
- storing presence information of the subscribers in the phonebook application ([0013, 0009, 0004]), said presence information including information on the availability of the subscribers for a group call ([0010-0013]);
- Opening the phonebook application in response to a predetermined input from the user interface ([0018], "client device is operated by the user to consult contact list" would require a predetermined input for the display to display a contact list, step 410 in Fig. 4)
- Displaying the list of subscribers on the user interface ([0018], user consult contact list, step 420 in Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 2617

 receiving user's selection of two or more individual subscribers from the list via the user interface (selecting group TG1 means users A and B are selected which broadly reads on the limitation of "selection of two or more subscribers", Fig. 2; [0016, 0018]); and

In response to the user pressing a predetermined button, providing appropriate signaling
with a group communication service in a network infrastructure for establishing a ...
group call of the selected subscribers and the user of the subscriber device (Step 430,
[0013, 0018])

However, **Mathis** does not specifically teach, "an ad-hoc group call and sending a speech item or a speech item request each time a talk activity is detected or indicated in the subscriber device during said ad hoc group call, wherein said speech item or said speech item request is sent based on real-time transport protocol".

In an analogous art, Griffin teaches

- in response to the user's selection of two or more individual subscribers for a new ad-hoc group call from the list via the user interface, displaying a group communications menu on the user interface (Fig. 10, [0048-0050] and Fig 14; [0048] if at least 1 entry is selected from the buddy list, the softkey "chat" is available and when a group or user is selected, additional group options are presented to the user which is interpreted as "group communication menu"; users can select a whole or partial group which reads on "ah-hoc" group);
- providing appropriate signaling with a group communication service in a network infrastructure for establishing said new ad-hoc group call with said newly selected individual subscribers and the user of the subscriber device; and ([0049-0050]);

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/560,109
Art Unit: 2617

Furthermore, Griffin teaches, "sending a speech item or a speech item request each
time a talk activity is detected or indicated in the subscriber device during said ad
hoc group call, wherein said speech item or said speech item request is sent based
on real-time transport protocol" (Fig. 10, [0049-0050, 0062, 0066] and Fig 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include **Griffin**'s teaching of the ad-hoc group call to allow the user the flexibility to dynamically select who to talk to and not be restricted to a predefined group and Griffin's teaching of sending a speech item request each time a talk activity is detected with Mathis' teaching in order to facilitate the push-to-talk process. It is also advantageous to modify Mathis and Haime's teaching with Griffin's teaching to use RTP because RTP takes advantage of the existing/emerging framework of Voice over IP (land and wireless) and take advantage of the functions and services offered in the Voice Over IP framework.

- 4. Regarding *claim* 17 and 18, Mathis teaches an apparatus comprising:
- A storage device configured to store a list of subscribers in a phonebook application in a subscriber device and presence information of the subscribers in the phonebook application ([0013, 0009, 0004]), said presence information including information on the availability of the subscribers for a group call ([0010-0013]);
- A user interface configured to display the list of subscribers of the phonebook application ([0018], user consult contact list, step 420 in Fig. 4).
- A controller configured, in response to the user's selection of two or more subscribers from the list via the user interface (selecting group TG1 is means users A and B are selected which broadly reads on the limitation of "selection of two or more subscribers",

Art Unit: 2617

Fig. 2; [0016, 0018]), to display a group communications menu on the user interface; and

 Said controller being configured, in response to the user pressing a predetermined button, to exchange appropriate signaling with a group communication service in a network infrastructure for establishing a ... group call of the selected subscribers and the user of the apparatus (Step 430, [0013, 0018])

In an analogous art. Griffin teaches

- in response to the user's selection of two or more <u>individual</u> subscribers for a <u>new ad-hoc group call</u> from the list via the user interface, displaying a group communications menu on the user interface (Fig. 10, [0048-0050] and Fig 14; [0048] if at least 1 entry is selected from the buddy list, the softkey "chat" is available and when a group or user is selected, additional group options are presented to the user which is interpreted as "group communication menu"; users can select a whole or partial group which reads on "ah-hoc" group);
- providing appropriate signaling with a group communication service in a network infrastructure for establishing said new ad-hoc group call with said newly selected individual subscribers and the user of the subscriber device; and ([0049-0050]);
- Furthermore, Griffin teaches, "sending a speech item or a speech item request each
 time a talk activity is detected or indicated in the subscriber device during said ad
 hoc group call, wherein said speech item or said speech item request is sent based
 on real-time transport protocol" (Fig. 10, [0049-0050, 0062, 0066] and Fig 14).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,109
Art Unit: 2617

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include **Griffin**'s teaching of the ad-hoc group call to allow the user the flexibility to dynamically select who to talk to and not be restricted to a predefined group and Griffin's teaching of sending a speech item request each time a talk activity is detected with Mathis' teaching in order to facilitate the push-to-talk process. It is also advantageous to modify Mathis and Haime's teaching with Griffin's teaching to use RTP because RTP takes advantage of the existing/emerging framework of Voice over IP (land and wireless) and take advantage of the functions and services offered in the Voice Over IP framework.

Regarding claims 20-23, they are claim directing towards computer readable medium and apparatus claims that correspond to claim 13. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 13.

Regarding claim 24, Mathis and Griffin teach an apparatus as claimed in claim 17, wherein said controller comprises at least one programmable unit (Griffin [31]).

Regarding claim **25 and 28**, Mathis and Griffin teach an apparatus as claimed in claim 17/21, wherein said controller comprises at least one of a signal processor and a central processing unit (Griffin [31]).

Regarding claims **26 and 27**, they are apparatus claims that correspond to claim 25. Therefore they are rejected for the same reasons as claim 25.

Regarding claim 29, Mathis and Griffin teach an apparatus as claimed in claim 21, wherein said apparatus comprises a subscriber terminal having a speech communication capability (Griffin [31]).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,109

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 30, Mathis and Griffin teach an apparatus as claimed in claim 21, wherein said apparatus comprises a computer device having a capability for speech communication over Internet (Griffin, [30] and abstract).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-14, 17-18 and 20-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DUNG LAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 5:30 pm. Every Other Friday Off

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Harper can be reached on (571) 272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/VINCENT P. HARPER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617